

REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF
Woodland & Watson Tentative Map
PDS2014-TM-5594, PDS2014-ER-14-08-016

July 23, 2015

I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?

YES
☒

NO
☐

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
☐

While the proposed project and off-site improvements are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program, the project site and locations of any off-site improvements do not contain habitats subject to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.

II. MSCP/BMO - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?

YES
☐

NO
☐

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
☒

The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance is not required.

III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?

YES
☒

NO
☐

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
☐

The project will obtain its water supply from the Vista Irrigation District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Steep Slope section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>

Wetland and Wetland Buffers: The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe: The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(c) and (d) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Steep Slopes: Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats: No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by staff. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites: The property has been surveyed by a County of San Diego approved archaeologist, Rod McLean, and it has been determined that the property does not contain any archaeological/historical sites. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(g) of the RPO.

V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES

☒

NO

☐

NOT APPLICABLE

☐

The project Storm Water Management Plan (with a Hydromodification Management Study incorporated within) has been reviewed and is found to be complete and in compliance with the WPO.

VI. NOISE ORDINANCE – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES

☒

NO

☐

NOT APPLICABLE

☐

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

The project is a subdivision for residential lots and is subject to the County Noise Element. Based on the noise analysis and staff's review, the proposed subdivision would not be exposed to future traffic that would exceed the General Plan Noise Element thresholds of 60 dBA CNEL. Staff does not anticipate levels to exceed the 60 dBA CNEL threshold at the project site because the nearest substantial noise source is from SR-78 which is approximately 2,000 feet setback from the site. Additionally, this roadway is screen by intervening topography and existing structures which also provide additional reduction to traffic noise. The project is also subject to the County Noise Ordinance which allows a 75 dBA eight-hour average sound level limit at any occupied property line. Based on the focused noise assessment, construction equipment consisting of a water truck, dozer, scraper and backhoe, operating simultaneously would result in construction noise levels as high as 73.7 dBA at the northern property line. Temporary construction noises are expected to comply with County noise standards. Additionally, no blasting or other impulsive construction activities would take place on site. Noise measures would be incorporated into the grading plans to ensure noise ordinance compliance can be maintained and achieved.